Montana Board of Oil and Gas Conservation Environmental Assessment

| Operator: TAQA North USA, Inc. |
|--|
| Well Name/Number: McKinnon 17-8H |
| Location: SE NE Section 17 T37N R57E |
| County: Sheridan, MT; Field (or Wildcat) Wildcat (Flat Lake) |
| |
| Air Quality |
| (possible concerns) |
| Long drilling time: No. 20-30 days drilling time. |
| Unusually deep drilling (high horsepower rig): Heavy double derrick drilling rig 900-1000 HP |
| (Estimated) to drill a Bakken formation single lateral horizontal well, 12,209'MD/7,706'TVD. |
| Possible H2S gas production: Slight chance H2S gas, Mississippian Formations. In/near Class I air quality area: No Class I air quality area nearby. |
| Air quality permit for flaring/venting (if productive): Yes, DEQ air quality permit required under 75-2- |
| 211. |
| Mitigation: |
| _X Air quality permit (AQB review) |
| Gas plants/pipelines available for sour gas |
| Special equipment/procedures requirements |
| Other: |
| Comments: If there are existing pipelines for natural gas in the area then gas must be tied into |
| system or if no gathering system nearby associated gas can be flared under Board Rule 36.22.1220. |
| W. (O P. |
| Water Quality |
| (possible concerns) |
| Salt/oil based mud: <u>Intermediate string hole will be drilled with oil based invert mud system and openhole</u> |
| horizontal production hole will be drilled with polymer based freshwater mud system. Surface casing hole |
| will be drilled with a freshwater and freshwater mud system. |
| High water table: No high water table anticipated in the area of review. |
| Surface drainage leads to live water: Closest live water are freshwater sloughs, about 5/8 of a mile to the |
| west and southwest from this location. |
| Water well contamination: None, closest water wells in the area are about ½ of a mile to the northwest |
| and about ¾ of a mile to the northwest from this location. Surface hole will be drilled with freshwater and |
| freshwater drilling muds. The surface casing setting depth of 1250' should be below all freshwater zones. |
| Porous/permeable soils: Yes, sandy clay soils. |
| Class I stream drainage: No, Class I stream drainages. |
| Mitigation: |
| Lined reserve pit X Adequate surface casing |
| A dequate surface casing Berms/dykes, re-routed drainage |
| Bernis dykes, 15-10tted dramage _X Closed mud system |
| A Closed mud system |

Soils/Vegetation/Land Use

_____X Other: _Freshwater drilling fluids will be land applied with surface owner approval._
Comments: 1250' surface casing well below freshwater zones in adjacent water wells. Also,
covering Fox Hills aquifer. Adequate surface casing and operational BOP equipment to prevent problems

X Off-site disposal of **solids/liquids** (in approved facility)

(possible concerns)

in and around freshwater slough.

| Steam crossings: None anticipated. |
|--|
| High erosion potential: No, small cut, up to 3.8' and small fill, up to 3.0', required. |
| Loss of soil productivity: _None, location to be restored after drilling well, if nonproductive. If productive |
| unused portion of drillsite will be reclaimed. |
| Unusually large wellsite: No, large wellsite, 450'X450'. |
| Damage to improvements: Slight, surface use is a cultivated field. |
| Conflict with existing land use/values: <u>Slight</u> |
| Mitigation |
| Avoid improvements (topographic tolerance) |
| Exception location requested |
| X Stockpile topsoil |
| Stream Crossing Permit (other agency review) |
| X Reclaim unused part of wellsite if productive |
| Special construction methods to enhance reclamation Other |
| Comments: Access will use existing county road, Ueland Road and existing section line lease road. A |
| short access road will be built into location off the section line lease road, about 15.2', but the section line |
| road will be upgraded for heavy truck traffic, about 2000'. Surface hole (freshwater) cuttings will be |
| mixed buried on site. Oil based invert mud cuttings will be trucked to an approved waste disposal facility. |
| Oil based drilling fluids will be recycled to the next location or returned to the mud company's recycling |
| facility. Freshwater surface fluids will be land applied. No concerns. |
| |
| |
| Health Hazards/Noise |
| (magaible comparms) |
| (possible concerns) Proximity to public facilities/residences: Closest residences are about ³ / ₄ of a mile to the east and 1.125 |
| miles to the northeast from this location. |
| Possibility of H2S: _Yes, slight, Mississippian Formations. |
| Size of rig/length of drilling time: Heavy double drilling rig 20 to 30 days drilling time. |
| Mitigation: |
| X Proper BOP equipment |
| Topographic sound barriers |
| H2S contingency and/or evacuation plan |
| Special equipment/procedures requirements |
| Other: |
| Comments: Adequate surface casing cemented to surface with operational BOP stack should |
| mitigate any problems. |
| |
| Wildlife/recreation |
| (possible concerns) |
| Proximity to sensitive wildlife areas (DFWP identified): None identified. |
| Proximity to recreation sites: None identified. Creation of new access to wildlife habitat: No |
| Creation of new access to winding nabitat: <u>No</u> Conflict with game range/refuge management: No |
| Threatened or endangered Species: Only species identified as threatened or endangered are the Whooping |
| |
| Crane and Piping Plover. Candidate species is the Sprague's Pipit. NH Tracker site indicates fourteen (14) species of concern: Baird's Sparrow, Le Conte's Sparrow, Nelson's Sparrow, Sprague's Pipit, |
| Ferruginous Hawk, Chestnut-Collared Longspur, Piping Plover, Black Tern, Sedge Wren, Yellow Rail, |
| Bobolink, Whooping Crane, McCown's Longspur and Smooth Greensnake. |
| Mitigation: |
| |

Avoidance (topographic tolerance/exception)
Other agency review (DFWP, federal agencies, DSL)
Screening/fencing of pits, drillsite

| Other: |
|---|
| Comments: Private cultivated surface lands. There may be species of concern that maybe |
| impacted by this wellsite. We ask the operator to consult with the surface owner as to what he would like |
| done, if a species of concern are discovered at this location. |
| |
| |
| |
| Historical/Cultural/Paleontological |
| (possible concerns) |
| Proximity to known sites: None identified. |
| Mitigation |
| avoidance (topographic tolerance, location exception) |
| other agency review (SHPO, DSL, federal agencies) |
| Other: |
| Comments: Private cultivated surface lands. There may be possible |
| historical/cultural/paleontological sites that maybe impacted by this wellsite. We ask the operator to |
| consult with the surface owner as to his desires to preserve these sites or not, if they are found during |
| construction of the wellsite. |
| |
| Social/Economic |
| (possible concerns) |
| Substantial effect on tax base |
| Create demand for new governmental services |
| Population increase or relocation |
| Comments: No concerns. Wildcat well within an existing oil field, Flat Lake Field. |
| Domarks or Special Concerns for this site |
| Remarks or Special Concerns for this site |
| Wildcat Bakken formation horizontal well within an existing oil field, Flat Lake Field |
| Whitelit Bukken formation nonzontal wen within an existing on neith, I lat Buke I leid |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| Summary: Evaluation of Impacts and Cumulative effects |
| Summary. Divaraction of Impacts and Summarive effects |
| No long term impacts expected. Some short term impacts will occur, but can be mitigated in a short |
| time. |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| I conclude that the approval of the subject Notice of Intent to Drill (does/does not) constitute a major |
| action of state government significantly affecting the quality of the human environment, and (does/does |
| <u>not</u>) require the preparation of an environmental impact statement. |
| |
| Prepared by (BOGC): /s/Steven Sasaki |
| (title:) Chief Field Inspector |
| Date: May 24, 2012 |
| |
| Other Persons Contacted: |

Montana Bureau of Mines and Geology, Groundwater Information Center website.

| (Name and Agency) |
|--|
| Sheridan County water wells |
| (subject discussed) |
| May 24, 2012 |
| (date) |
| US Fish and Wildlife, Region 6 website |
| (Name and Agency) |
| ENDANGERED, THREATENED, PROPOSED AND CANDIDATE SPECIES MONTANA |
| COUNTIES, Sheridan County |
| (subject discussed) |
| May 24, 2012 |
| (date) |
| Montana Natural Heritage Program Website (FWP) |
| (Name and Agency) |
| Heritage State Rank= S1, S2, S3, T37N R57E |
| (subject discussed) |
| May 24, 2012 |
| (date) |
| If location was inspected before permit approval: |
| Inspection date: |
| Inspector: |
| Others present during inspection: |